



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,265	07/09/2004	Osamu Akiba	Q73735	7486
23373 7590 05/09/2011				
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC				
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800				
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				
EXAMINER				
DAHIMENE, MAHMOUD				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1713				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/09/2011		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com

PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM

USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/501,265

Applicant(s)

AKIBA ET AL.

Examiner

MAHMOUD DAHIMENE

Art Unit

1713

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2011.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-942)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB-08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
3. Claims 1, 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angadjivand et al. (US 6,375,886) in view of Morozov et al. (US 2002/0048770).

Angadjivand discloses a method and apparatus for charging fibers that contain a nonconductive polymer. A polar liquid 32, 34 is sprayed onto free-fibers 24, and the free-fibers 24 are then collected to form an entangled nonwoven fibrous web 25 that may contain a portion of the polar liquid. The nonwoven web 25 is then dried 38. By applying an effective amount of polar liquid 32, 34 onto the nonconductive free-fibers 24 before forming the nonwoven web 25, followed by drying 38, the individual fibers 24

become charged. The method and apparatus enable the fibers 24 to be charged during web manufacture without subsequent processing (abstract). Angadjivand cites "The spraying mechanisms 28, 30 may be used separately or simultaneously from multiple sides. The spraying mechanisms 28, 30 may be used to spray a vapor of polar liquid such as steam, an atomized spray or mist of fine polar liquid droplets, or an intermittent or continuous steady stream of a polar liquid. In general, the spraying step involves contacting the free fiber with the polar liquid by having the polar liquid supported by or directed through a gas phase in any of the forms just described. The spraying mechanisms 28, 30 may be located essentially anywhere between the die 20 and the collector 26. For example, in an alternate embodiment shown in FIG. 1, spraying mechanisms 28', 30' are located closer to the collector and even downstream to a source 36 that supplies staple fibers 37 to the web 25. (15) Spraying the free-fibers while they are in a molten state or in a semi-molten state has been found to maximize the imparted charge. The spraying mechanisms 28, 30 are preferably located as close to the stream of free-fibers 24 as possible (distances e and f are minimized), without interfering with the flow of free-fibers 24 to the collector 26. The distances e and f are preferably about 30.5 cm (one foot) or less, more preferably less than 15 cm (6 inches), laterally from the free fiber. The polar liquid may be sprayed perpendicular to the stream of free-fibers or at an acute angle, such as at an acute angle in the general direction of free-fiber movement" (column 7, line 40-65), "The polar liquid is sprayed on the fibers in quantities sufficient to constitute an "effective amount." That is, the polar liquid is contacted with the free-fibers in an amount sufficient to enable an electret to be

produced using the process of the invention. Typically, the quantity of polar liquid used is so great that the web is wet when initially formed on the collector. It may be possible, however, for no water to be present on the collector if, for example, the distance between the origin of the free-fiber and the collector is so great that the polar liquid dries while on the free-fiber rather than while on the collected web" (column 8, line 12), "The amount of polar liquid that is sprayed on the web may vary depending on the fiber production rates."

Angadjivand recognizes the need for "fine polar liquid droplets".

Angadjivand recognizes that the amount of water (polar liquid droplets) is a result effective variable effective in controlling the fibers drying process, Angadjivand teaches "It may be possible, however, for no water to be present on the collector if, for example, the distance between the origin of the free-fiber and the collector is so great that the polar liquid dries while on the free-fiber rather than while on the collected web", "The amount of polar liquid that is sprayed on the web may vary depending on the fiber production rates."

It is noted that Angadjivand proposes "spraying mechanisms 28, 30 may be used to spray a vapor of polar liquid such as **steam**, an atomized spray or mist of fine polar liquid droplets", and does not expressly disclose the average diameter of the droplets is less than 20 microns.

Morozov discloses electro spraying solutions of substances for mass fabrication of chip and libraries. The reference of Morozov is not relied on to teach Electro spraying solutions of substances for mass fabrication of chips and libraries, but is only relied on to teach that in the art of spraying a liquid, droplets sizes ranging from 0.3 to 20 microns in diameters are conventionally obtained and known for their capability of obtaining a level where evaporation in the droplets stream becomes possible.

Morozov teaches

"The method of electrospray is the electrostatic atomization of a liquid or a solution to obtain charged microdroplets, charged clusters and ions. The solution or liquid of the substance to be deposited is placed into a capillary (or array of capillaries), and the application of high voltage results in instability of the liquid or solution, which is then dispersed into small charged droplets 0.3-20 microns in diameter, and typically about 0.5-2 microns in diameter. Electrostatic repulsion rapidly moves these charged microdroplets from the capillary tip, and in their travel toward a substrate surface, the microdroplets evaporate if solvent vapor pressure is low enough, and the size of the droplets reach a Raleigh limit of electrostatic stability. Afterwards, the microdroplets undergo a series of decays, reducing their size to about 10-20 nm and increasing the electrostatic field to a level where evaporation of ionized solvated molecules becomes possible. On further travel through a dry gas, solvent is lost from these solvated ionized molecules. Where evaporation proceeds rapidly, all of the solute content of the microdroplets can be concentrated into small nanoclusters (FIG. 1).

[0006] Electrospray of solutions in solvents with low vapor pressure, such as water, electrospray in atmosphere containing large amount of solvent vapor or where the electrospray source is at a short distance from the substrate surface for deposition, can allow microdroplets to reach the substrate without complete decay and evaporation of all the solvent. This regime is referred to as wet electrospray. The deposition of charged molecules or clusters occurs in a dry electrospray regime where volatile solvents is used and the conditions of low partial vapor pressure of the solvent in gas or a longer distance between the electrospray source and the substrate surface is used.

[0007] Accordingly, this electrospray phenomena permits the deposition of substances in the form of charged microdroplets, solvated or dry ionized molecules, or nanoclusters. Nanoclusters or fibers can be produced by electrospray from linear polymers. The form of deposit can be regulated by

changing the travel path of the charged species and their speed, by control of vapor pressure in the atmosphere, and by the proper choice of solvent and solution concentration" (paragraphs 0005-0007)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Angadjivand by using the conventional electrospray method disclosed by Morozov because Morozov teaches the advantages of the electrospray method in delivering microdroplets, reducing their size to about 10-20 nm and increasing the electrostatic field to a level where in stream evaporation becomes possible.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the process of Angadjivand by using the electrospray method in order to regulate the form of the deposit with the added flexibility of changing the travel path and speed of the sprayed material as well as the microdroplets evaporation capabilities, as suggested by Morozov, thereby, further enhancing the capability of adjusting the amount of water (polar liquid droplets) reaching the fiber which is recognized by Angadjivand as a result effective variable affecting the "production rates", it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

As to claim 3, it is noted Angadjivand does not expressly disclose the droplet versus fiber content, however, Angadjivand discloses "The polar liquid is sprayed on the fibers in quantities sufficient to constitute an "effective amount." That is, the polar liquid is contacted with the free-fibers in an amount sufficient to enable an electret to be produced using the process of the invention" As indicated above. Therefore, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to spray the polar liquid on the fibers in quantities sufficient to constitute an "effective amount." That is, the polar liquid is contacted with the free-fibers in an amount sufficient to enable an electret to be produced using the process of the invention since Angadjivand teaches adjusting the liquid droplets content is necessary in order to obtain the desired results. Angadjivand recognizes that the amount of water (polar liquid droplets) is a result effective variable effective in controlling the fibers drying process, Angadjivand teaches "It may be possible, however, for no water to be present on the collector if, for example, the distance between the origin of the free-fiber and the collector is so great that the polar liquid dries while on the free-fiber rather than while on the collected web", "The amount of polar liquid that is sprayed on the web may vary depending on the fiber production rates."

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any relative amount of droplets versus fiber content including the amount claimed by the applicant in claim 3 since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

As to claim 4, Angadjivand discloses Cooperating gas orifices 23--through which a gaseous stream, typically heated air, is forced at high velocity--are positioned proximate die orifice 22 to assist in drawing the fiber-forming material through the orifice 22 (column 6, line 40).

As to claim 5-6, Angadjivand discloses "nonconductive" means possessing a volume resistivity of about 10.sup.14 ohm.cm or greater at room temperature (column 4, line 40). Angadjivand discloses a volume resistivity range that overlaps applicant's claimed range. Overlapping ranges are held obvious.

As to claim 8, Angadjivand also discloses "Some other hindered amines are also known to increase the filtration-enhancing charge imparted to the web" (column 12, line 15)

As to claim 10, Angadjivand discloses the apparatus comprising (1) a means for melt-extruding a thermoplastic resin containing electrical-chargeability enhancing agents to form thermoplastic resin fibers; (2) a means for spraying droplets consisting essentially of a polar liquid to a space downstream of a direction of said thermoplastic resin extruded from said means for melt-extruding a thermoplastic resin, to thereby form a mist space, the average diameter of said droplets being less than 20 μ m; and (3) a means for collecting said thermoplastic resin fibers which have been passed through said mist space.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/8/11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's argument that Morozov invention is very different from applicant's claimed invention, the examiner, respectfully, disagrees because first the reference of Morozov is not relied on to teach Electrospraying solutions of substances

for mass fabrication of chips and libraries, but is only relied on to teach that in the art of spraying a liquid, droplets sizes ranging from 0.3 to 20 microns in diameters are conventionally obtained and known for their capability of obtaining a level where evaporation in the droplets stream becomes possible., and second Angadjivand recognizes the need for "fine polar liquid droplets" and fast drying.

Angadjivand recognizes that the amount of water (polar liquid droplets) is a result effective variable effective in controlling the fibers drying process, Angadjivand teaches "It may be possible, however, for no water to be present on the collector if, for example, the distance between the origin of the free-fiber and the collector is so great that the polar liquid dries while on the free-fiber rather than while on the collected web", "The amount of polar liquid that is sprayed on the web may vary depending on the fiber production rates.". As stated in the instant office action, it is noted that Angadjivand proposes "spraying mechanisms 28, 30 may be used to spray a vapor of polar liquid such as **steam**, an atomized spray or mist of fine polar liquid droplets", and does not expressly disclose the average diameter of the droplets is less than 20 microns. Morozov discloses electro spraying solutions of substances for mass fabrication of chip and libraries. The reference of Morozov is not relied on to teach Electro spraying solutions of substances for mass fabrication of chips and libraries, but is only relied on to teach that in the art of spraying a liquid droplets sizes of droplets ranging from 0.3 to 20 microns in diameters are conventionally obtained and known for their capability of obtaining a level where evaporation in the droplets stream becomes possible. In addition the fact that Angadjivand does not measure the size of his droplets does not

mean that droplets diameters of less than 20 micrometers are not attained by Angadjivand. The key claimed difference between Angadjivand and the applicant's claimed invention is the droplets size since Angadjivand recognizes that the amount of water (polar liquid droplets) is a result effective variable effective in controlling the fibers drying process and production rate, however, applicant did not distinguish his invention from the prior art of record in claiming a droplets size of less than 20 micrometers since Angadjivand recognizes the need for fine droplets, and fine droplets of less than 20 micrometers in diameter are known to yield faster in-stream evaporation according to Morozov, which, in turn, is desired by Angadjivand for better productivity rates, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made combine the methods of Angadjivand and Morozov.

As to applicant's remarks about the formula (W_p/W_f) is 5 or more, they are not persuasive because Angadjivand discloses "The polar liquid is sprayed on the fibers in quantities sufficient to constitute an "effective amount.", Angadjivand recognizes that the amount of water (polar liquid droplets) is a result effective variable effective in controlling the fibers drying process and production rate. Applicant did not show any unexpected results associated with the claimed known result effective variable.

As to applicant's remark about claim 6, the examiner considers the term non-conductive, used by Angadjivand, to include a volume specific resistivity higher than 10^{14} Ohm.cm, since the term non-conductive includes infinite resistivity. Applicant did not show any unexpected results associated with such a claimed volume specific resistivity range.

As to applicant's remark about claim 8, Angadjivand discloses "Some other hindered amines are also known to increase the filtration-enhancing charge imparted to the web" (column 12, line 15).

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAHMOUD DAHIMENE whose telephone number is (571)272-2410. The examiner can normally be reached on week days from 8:00 AM. to 5:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. D./
Examiner, Art Unit 1713

/Nadine G Norton/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1713